EXHIBIT "V"



U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Philadelphia District Office

801 Market Street, Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19107-3126 Free: (833) 827-2920 TTY: (215) 440-2606 FAX: (215) 440-2610 Website: www.eeoc.gov

02/14/2023

VIA: dfynes@ohaganmeyer.com Darlene L Fynes O'hagan Meyer 1717 Arch Street, Suite 3910 Suite 3910 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Re: FOIA No.: 530-2023-005345 Charge No.: 530-2019-029821

Dear Ms. Fynes:

Your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, received on 02/14/2023, is processed. Our search

_		onsive records. The paragraph(s) checked below apply.					
[]	Your re	equest is granted.					
[X]		Your request is denied pursuant to the subsections of the FOIA indicated at the end of this letter. An attachment to this letter explains the use of these exemptions in more detail.					
[]	Your request is procedurally denied as [] it does not reasonably describe the records you wish disclosed, or [] no records fitting the description of the records you seek disclosed exist or could be located after a thorough search, or [] the responsive records are already publicly available. See the Comments page for further explanation.						
[]	Your request is granted in part and denied in part. Portions not released are withheld pursuant to the subsections of the FOIA indicated at the end of this letter. An attachment to this letter explains the use of these exemptions in more detail.						
[]	Your request is closed for administrative reasons. An attachment to this letter further explains this closure.						
[]	A fee of \$ 0 is charged. Charges for manual search and review services are assessed according to the personnel category of the person conducting the search a. Fees for search services range from \$5.00 per quarter hour to \$20.00 per quarter hour. Direct cost is charged for computer search and in certain other circumstances. Photocopying is .15 per page 29 C.F.R. §1610.15. The attached Comments page further explains the direct costs assessed. The fee(s) charged is computed as follows:						
	[]	Commercial use request: [] pages of photocopying; [] quarter hour(s) of [] review time; and [] quarter hour(s) of [] search time. Direct costs are billed in the amount of [] for [];					
	[]	Educational or noncommercial scientific institution or a representative of the news media request: [] pages of photocopying. The first 100 pages are provided free of charge; and					

¹ The EEOC can neither confirm nor deny the existence of a charge of discrimination having this charge number.

FOIA No.: 530-2023-005345

[]

[]

[]

[**X**]

[**X**]

[X]

NO.: 550-2025-005545						
[] All other requests: [] pages of photocopying and [] quarter hour(s) of search time. Direct costs are billed in the amount of [] for []. The first 100 pages and the first two hours of search time are provided free of charge.						
Please submit payment of \$ 0 by either:						
(1) Credit card at <u>pay.gov.</u> Visa, MasterCard, American Express and Discover credit cards are accepted. Debit cards bearing the Visa or MasterCard logo are also accepted. We will finish processing your request after EEOC receives a copy of your <u>pay.gov</u> credit or debit card receipt or						
(2) Check, payable to the United States Treasurer, to the address above.						
The disclosed records are enclosed. No fee is charged because the cost of collecting and processing the chargeable fee equals or exceeds the amount of the fee. 29 C.F.R. § 1610.15(d).						
The disclosed records are enclosed. Photocopying and search fees have been waived pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1610.14.						
I trust that the furnished information fully satisfies your request. If you need any further assistance or would like to discuss any aspect of your request, please do not hesitate to contact the FOIA Professional who processed your request or our FOIA Public Liaison (see contact information in above letterhead or under signature line).						
You may contact the EEOC FOIA Public Liaison Michael L. Heise for further assistance or to discuss any aspect of your request. In addition, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer.						
The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001, email at ogis@nara.gov ; telephone at (202) 741-5770; toll free 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at (202) 741-5769.						
The contact information for the FOIA Public Liaison is as follows: Michael L. Heise, EEOC FOIA Public Liaison, Office of Legal Counsel, FOIA Division, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 131 M. Street, N.E., Fifth Floor, Washington, D.C. 20507, email to FOIA@eeoc.gov, telephone at (202) 921-2542; or fax at (202) 653-6034.						
If you are not satisfied with the response to this request, you may administratively appeal in writing. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted in 90 days from receipt of this letter to the Office of Legal Counsel, FOIA Division, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 131 M Street, NE, 5NW02E, Washington, D.C. 20507, email to FOIA@eeoc.gov; online at https://eeoc.arkcase.com/foia/portal/login , or fax at (202) 653-6034. Your appeal will be governed by 29 C.F.R. § 1610.11.						
See the attached Comments page for further information.						
Sincerely, Bridget Lange for						
Jamie R. Williamson District Director						

philfoia@eeoc.gov

FOIA No.: 530-2023-005345

Applicable Sections of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b):

Exemption(s) Used:

(b)(3)(A)(i) Section 107 of the ADA

Exemption (b)(3)(A)(i) to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(3)(A)(i) (2016), as amended by the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, Pub. L. No. 114-185, 130 Stat. 538, states that disclosure is not required for a matter specifically exempted from disclosure by statute . . . if that statute\n\n(A)(i) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on the issue;\n\nSection 107 of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and § 207 of the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA) adopt the procedures of Sections 706 and 709 of Title VII.\n\nSee Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Associated Dry Goods Co., 449 U.S. 590 (1981); Frito-Lay v. EEOC, 964 F. Supp. 236, 239-43 (W.D. Ky. 1997); American Centennial Insurance Co. v. United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 722 F. Supp. 180 (D.N.J. 1989); and EEOC v. City of Milwaukee, 54 F. Supp. 2d 885, 893 (E.D. Wis. 1999).

(b)(3)(A)(i) Section 207 of the GINA

Exemption (b)(3)(A)(i) to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(3)(A)(i) (2016), as amended by the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, Pub. L. No. 114-185, 130 Stat. 538, states that disclosure is not required for a matter specifically exempted from disclosure by statute . . . if that statute\n\n(A)(i) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on the issue;\n\nSection 107 of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and § 207 of the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA) adopt the procedures of Sections 706 and 709 of Title VII.\n\nSee Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Associated Dry Goods Co., 449 U.S. 590 (1981); Frito-Lay v. EEOC, 964 F. Supp. 236, 239-43 (W.D. Ky. 1997); American Centennial Insurance Co. v. United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 722 F. Supp. 180 (D.N.J. 1989); and EEOC v. City of Milwaukee, 54 F. Supp. 2d 885, 893 (E.D. Wis. 1999).

(b)(3)(A)(i) Sections 706(b)

Exemption (b)(3)(A)(i) to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(3)(A)(i) (2016), as amended by the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, Pub. L. No. 114-185, 130 Stat. 538, states that disclosure is not required for a matter specifically exempted from disclosure by statute . . . if that statute\n\n(A)(i) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on the issue;\n\nSections 706(b) and 709(e) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(b), 2000e-

FOIA No.: 530-2023-005345

8(e)(2007), are part of such a statute. Section 706(b) provides that:\n\nCharges shall not be made public by the Commission Nothing said or done during and as a part of [the Commission's informal endeavors at resolving charges of discrimination] may be made public

(b)(3)(A)(i) Sections 709(e)

Exemption (b)(3)(A)(i) to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(3)(A)(i) (2016), as amended by the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, Pub. L. No. 114-185, 130 Stat. 538, states that disclosure is not required for a matter specifically exempted from disclosure by statute . . . if that statute\n\n(A)(i) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on the issue;\n\nSection 709(e) of Title VII provides:\n\nIt shall be unlawful for any officer of the Commission to make public in any manner whatever any information obtained by the Commission pursuant to its authority under this section [to investigate charges of discrimination and to require employers to maintain and submit records] prior to the institution of any proceeding under this title involving such information.

For a full description of the exemption codes used please find them at the following URL: https://www.eeoc.gov/foia/freedom-information-act-reference-guide

COMMENTS:

The confidentiality provisions of the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, prohibit the EEOC from confirming or denying the existence of a charge(s) to a third party of the charge. The Third Exemption to the FOIA exempts this information from Disclosure. The requestor is not a party to a charge of discrimination described.



ATTORNEYS A ADVISORS

Kevin L. Golden, Esq. 215-461-3300 Office 215-461-3323 Direct 215-461-3311 Fax kgolden@ohaganmeyer.com

February 14, 2023

VIA ONLINE PORTAL and EMAIL: FOIA@eeoc.gov

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street, Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19107-3127

Re: Evelyn Cintron v. City of Philadelphia, The Philadelphia Police Athletic League

and Joseph Sullivan

USDC EDPA - No. 19-cv-04078

EEOC #530-2019-02982

Dear Sir or Madam:

This office represents Defendant, The Philadelphia Police Athletic League, in a federal court lawsuit captioned *Evelyn Cintron v. City of Philadelphia, the Philadelphia Police Athletic League and Joseph Sullivan*, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, No.: 2:19-cv-04078-RBS.

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 522, we are seeking your complete file regarding Ms. Cintron's Charge of Discrimination against Defendant. Accordingly, we request that the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission please forward to my attention any and all documents related to the charges filed against our client by Evelyn Cintron, Charge No. 530-2019-02982, including but not limited to the following:

Access to and copies of all of the contents of your investigative files concerning Evelyn Cintron's claims against the Defendants, including but not limited to all charges of discrimination and amended charges of discrimination, notices of charges, telephone logs (including, but not limited to, telephone logs of all calls made to or by or on behalf of Evelyn Cintron and the content of those telephone conversations), affidavits of any and all parties and witnesses, intake questionnaires, referral letters, position statements, responses to information and document requests, statements concerning referral agency action or non-

3 Logan Square | 1717 Arch Street | Suite 3910 | Philadelphia | PA | 19103 Forrestal Village | 116 Village Boulevard | Suite 200 | Princeton | NJ | 08540 www.ohaganmeyer.com

Chicago • Charlotte • Boston • Los Angeles • Orange County, CA • San Francisco • Washington D.C. • Philadelphia • Princeton, NJ • Wilmington, DE • Richmond, VA • Alexandria, VA

action, EEOC reports or decisions, and all other documents contained within your complete file.

In the above request, the word "documents" means information regardless of physical form or characteristics. Documents can be on paper, stored or maintained electronically, information data-processed or image-processed.

Please provide the above-requested information at your earliest opportunity. If you deny any or all of this request, please cite each specific exemption you believe justifies the refusal to release the information. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

We will assume financial responsibility for all necessary charges, including the costs of the search and duplication of the files. However, we ask that you notify us if the anticipated costs of doing so will be greater than Two Hundred Dollars (\$200.00).

Thank you kindly for your consideration of this request.

Very truly yours,

Kevin L. Golden, Esq.

M Sase 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 1 8 18 4 0 7 8

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

- Parposo of minuting title offin a	ocket shoot. DEE Market	HOND ON NEAT 1 NOE OF	1 1111111111111111111111111111111111111	wi.)							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Evelyn Cintron				DEFENDANTS City of Philadelphia et al.							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telenhone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Kno							
Isaac H. Green, Esquire	•	•		Unknown	,						
1500 JFK Blvd, Suite 1:	525, Philadelphia, PA	19102									
II. BASIS OF JURISDA	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OI		INC	[PA]	L PARTIES			
U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				For Diversity Cases Or n of This State	PTI			Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		PTF	DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	0 2	2 🗗	2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	0 3	3 0	3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	l ro	RFEITURE/PENALT	rv I			ere for: Nature o	f Suit Code De		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 241 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL INGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Housing 442 Employment 443 Housing 445 Amer w/Disabilities - Employment 445 Amer w/Disabilities - Other 348 Education	PERSONAL INJURY Product Liability 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alieu Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Y	LABOR Description of Property 21 USC 8 of Prair Labor Standards Act Description of Prair Labor Act Pamily and Medical Leave Act Description of Property Act Immigration Security Act Immigration Applied Other Immigration Actions	3881 () () () () () () () () () (PRO 3 820 (1) 830 (1) 830 (1) 830 (1) 830 (1) 830 (1) 840 (1) 861 (1) 862 (1) 863 (1) 864 (5) 865 (1) 867 (1) 870 (1) 871 (1)	Appeal Appeal Withdr 28 US DPER Copyri Patent Patent Patent Por Patent	128 USC 158 awal C 157 FY RIGHTS ghts - Abbreviated from Application nark BECURITY 395ft) Lung (923) CDIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	□ 375 False Cl □ 376 Qui Tan 3729(a) □ 400 State Re □ 410 Antitrus □ 430 Banks a □ 450 Commei □ 470 Rackete Corrupt □ 470 Rackete Under Consum □ 485 Telepho Protecti □ 490 Cable/S. □ 890 Other St □ 891 Agricult □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedon Act □ 896 Arbitrat □ 899 Adminis Act/Rev	aims Act in (31 USC) aapportion t ind Bankin criticon er Influen Organizat er Credit ne Consur on Act at TV es/Commo ge atutory Ac ural Acts mental Ma in of Inform ion strative Pro iew or Ap Decision tionality o	eed and ions ner ditties/ tions atters nation
	moved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	D 4 Reins Reope	ened An	other ecify)	Distric	t	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	\	puse: prought due to the d							and the second		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DE	MAND \$ LN 8 \$150,000.00				ECK YES only:	if demanded in	complai	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) NONB (See instructions):	JUDGE /				DOG	CKET	NUMBER		/	
DATE September 6, 2019		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY O	FRECORD /		-	<u> </u>				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		1/10	Contract of the second	Nov.			- \				
RECEIPT# AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		HIDG	F			MAG JUD	GF		

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 2 of 18 FUR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSY LYAINIA DESIGNATION FORM (to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar) Address of Plaintiff: 9674 Sandanne Road, Philadelphia, PA 19115 Address of Defendant: 1515 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103 Eighth and Race Streets, Philadelphia, PA	<i>\$</i>
Trace of Accident, filedent of Transaction:	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: None Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. September 6, 2019 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)	<u> </u>
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:	
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): 11. Altrother Federal Question Cases (Please specify): 12. Altrother Federal Question Cases (Please specify): 13. Altrother Federal Question Cases (Please specify): 14. Altrother Federal Question Cases (Please specify): 15. Altrother Federal Question Cases (Please specify): 16. Altrother Federal Question Cases (Please specify): 17. Altrother Federal Question Cases (Please specify): 18. Altrother Federal Question Cases (Please specify): 18. Altrother Federal Question Cases (Please specify): 19. Altrother Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION	
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) Isaac H. Green, Esquire , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action c exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:	ase
Relief other than monetary damages is sought. September 6, 2019	

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

36059
Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 3 of 18

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	CASE MANA	<u>GEMENT TRACK D</u>	ESIGNATION F	<u>URIVI</u>	
SURIUM	01/1/80	0	(CIVIL ACTION	
In accordance wire plaintiff shall confiling the complains ide of this form designation, that the plaintiff and a	nplete a Case Ma nt and serve a cop a) In the event defendant shall, while all other parties, a	ce Expense and Delay nagement Track Design on all defendants. (Sithat a defendant does with its first appearance a Case Management Track Design of the case should be assigned.	y Reduction Plan gnation Form in all See § 1:03 of the pl not agree with the ee, submit to the cl rack Designation I	I civil cases at the tile an set forth on the re e plaintiff regarding lerk of court and ser	el for me of verse g said ve on
SELECT ONE C)F THE FOLLO	OWING CASE MANA	AGEMENT TRA	CKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpu	ıs – Cases broug	ht under 28 U.S.C. § 2	2241 through § 225	55.	()
(b) Social Securit and Human S	ty – Cases reques ervices denying	sting review of a decis plaintiff Social Securi	ion of the Secretar ty Benefits.	ry of Health	()
(c) Arbitration -	Cases required to	o be designated for arb	oitration under Loc	cal Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Ca exposure to a	ases involving cl sbestos.	aims for personal inju	ry or property dan	nage from	()
commonly re	ferred to as comp ee reverse side o	that do not fall into tra plex and that need spec f this form for a detailed	cial or intense mar	nagement by	9
(f) Standard Mar	nagement – Case	s that do not fall into a	any one of the othe	er tracks.	(*)
Septembe	R 6,2019	TSOCGE Attorney-at-law	<u>een, Esque</u>	orney for Piant	<u>co</u>
201 · 625	= .0199 .	Attorney-at-taw 3 15-587-002		<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>	•		2	<u>, ,,,</u> ,
Telephone		FAX Number	E-M	Iail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 4 of 18

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EVELYN CINTRON

967 Sandanne Road Philadelphia, PA 19115

Plaintiff,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CIVIL ACTION NO: 19-4078

٧.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, a Municipal

Corporation having principal offices located at its
Law Department
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

THE PHILADELPHIA POLICE
ATHLETIC LEAGUE, a 501(c)(3)

non-profit business entity doing in the City and County of Philadelphia, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,: having a main office in Philadelphia at 3068 Belgrade Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19134

and

JOSEPH SULLIVAN, individually and

in his official capacity as Deputy Police Commissioner 750 Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19106

Defendants

COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION and VENUE

1. Plaintiff brings this action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C.

§ 2000e, as amended by §1981a (hereinafter, "Title VII"), 42 U. S. C. §1983, and

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 5 of 18

- §1988. Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1343. The Court's Pendent jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 USC §1367(a).
- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) inasmuch as Plaintiffs' causes of action arose in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and all the Defendants are found in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff EVELYN CINTRON is an adult, Hispanic female individual presently aged 52 years old and a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania residing at 9674 Sandanne Road, Philadelphia, PA 19116.
- 4. Defendant CITY OF PHILADELPHIA is (hereinafter "CITY") is a Municipal Corporation located in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, defined as a City of the First Class under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and having principal offices at its Law Department, located at 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19102. Defendant CITY owns, operates, manages and directs the Philadelphia Police Department (hereinafter "Police Department") as a Department of the City of Philadelphia which, in turn, employs the below-described Defendant. The Police Department has principal offices at the Police Administration Building, 750 Race Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106.
- Defendant POLICE ATHLETIC LEAGUE (hereinafter "PAL"), is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization doing business in the City and County of Philadelphia,
 Pennsylvania, having a main office located at 3068 Belgrade Avenue,
 Philadelphia, PA 19134.
- 6. Although Defendant PAL is an independently organized non-profit organization, it

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 6 of 18

functions as a unit and part of Defendant CITY's Philadelphia Police Department.

- 7. Defendant JOSEPH SULLIVAN (hereinafter "SULLIVAN") is an adult white male, and is an employee, servant, workman and/or agent of Defendant CITY and its Police Department as well as an employee, servant, workman and/or agent of Defendant PAL, having a principal office located at Police Administration Building, 750 Race Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant SULLIVAN was employed by said Defendants CITY and PAL in a Supervisory Capacity as a Deputy Police Commissioner and, as such, was a policymaker for both Defendant CITY and its Police Department and Defendant PAL. Defendant SULLIVAN is being sued individually and in his official capacity as a Deputy Police Commissioner of said Police Department and PAL Supervisor.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Defendants CITY and its Police Department and Defendant PAL acted or failed to act through its authorized agents, servants, workmen and employees including, but not limited to, Defendant Sullivan, who was at all times then and there acting within the course and scope of his dual employment with both Defendants. Specifically, the Defendant CITY and PAL, as a matter of custom, policy and/or practice intentionally and deliberately failed to adequately train, supervise, discipline, or otherwise direct Defendant Sullivan concerning race and national origin discrimination and retaliation, thereby causing the individual Defendant in this case to engage in the unlawful and illegal conduct described herein.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant Sullivan was acting in the course and

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 7 of 18

- scope of his agency and/or employment with and on behalf of and/or under the management, control and/or direction of both Defendant CITY and its Police Department and Defendant PAL, and his acts and failures to act were the acts and failures to act of both Defendant CITY and Defendant PAL.
- At all times relevant hereto, Defendant CITY and its Police Department and Defendant PAL co-employed Defendant SULLIVAN and were, therefor coemployers.
- 11. At all times material hereto, the individual Defendant was acting intentionally, maliciously, and in reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's right to be free from race and national origin discrimination and retaliation.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

- 12. Plaintiff filed charges of sex and national origin discrimination and retaliation against the Defendants with the District Office of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Charge No. 530-2019-02982, on 22 May 2019.
- 13. Plaintiff also received a Notice of Right to Sue Within 90 Days from the U. S. Department of Justice dated 10 June 2019. A copy of the Notice of Right to Sue" is attached and marked as Exhibit "A".

BACKGROUND ALLEGATIONS

14. In June 2015, Plaintiff Cintron was selected by Defendant CITY's Police Commissioner, Richard Ross, to be the Commanding Officer of the Philadelphia Police Athletic League ("PAL"); at all times relevant hereto, she served at the rank of Lieutenant and was the first Latina female to serve at that rank.

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 8 of 18

- 15. Defendant PAL is a collaborative effort between the Philadelphia Police

 Department and Defendant PAL, the non-profit organization; its leadership

 consists predominately of white males, including Defendant Sullivan, who are

 simultaneously members of Defendant CITY's Philadelphia Police Department
 and Defendant PAL.
- 16. In March 2018, D/C Patterson, an employee, workman and agent of both Defendants CITY and PAL, assigned Plaintiff Cintron a new supervisor, Defendant Joseph Sullivan, a newly-appointed D/C, and directed her to report to D/C Sullivan thereafter.

OPERATIVE ALLEGATIONS

- 17. In June 2015, Plaintiff Cintron was selected by Defendant CITY's Police

 Commissioner, Richard Ross, to be the Commanding Officer of the Philadelphia

 PAL; at all times relevant hereto, she served at the rank of Lieutenant and was
 the first Latina female to serve at that rank.
- 18. Initially, Plaintiff Cintron was directed to report to Deputy Commissioner ("D/C")
 Myron Patterson on day-to-day matters that he could handle and on other important matters.
- 19. Plaintiff Cintron's duties consisted of managing both the policing unit and Defendant CITY's police personnel while managing the day-to-day operations of Defendant PAL.
- 20. In March 2018, D/C Patterson assigned Plaintiff Cintron a new supervisor,
 Defendant Joseph Sullivan, a newly-appointed D/C, and directed her to report to
 D/C Sullivan thereafter.

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 9 of 18

- 21. During her tenure at Defendant PAL, Plaintiff Cintron objected to and reported to Defendant Sullivan numerous disparities in funding between those PAL centers that serviced primarily Black and Hispanic constituents (the young people who used PAL facilities and programs) and those that serviced the white communities, and noticed that the PAL centers for the latter received the most funding.
- 22. Plaintiff Cintron also reported to Defendant Sullivan numerous violations of departmental policies and the lack of supervision of subordinate staff.
- 23. Plaintiff Cintron also reported to Defendant Sullivan that local, state and federal laws were being violated regarding the unfair treatment of minority personnel, including, but not limited to, the disparity in distribution of overtime hours between minority and white personnel.
- 24. Generally, Plaintiff Cintron advocated to Defendants Sullivan, City and PAL for and on behalf of minority constituents, but her efforts were not heeded or addressed.
- 25. More specifically, Plaintiff Cintron complained, inter alia, about unsafe conditions in the Wissinoming PAL center and other centers that served predominantly minority youth.
- 26. She also complained about the disparity in the quality and quantity of charitable gifts, such as tickets to sports events provided to PAL centers by outside sources, to be distributed among PAL youth members and the PAL unit police officers.
- 27. In fact, D/C Sullivan once directed resources that might have been used with

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 10 of 18

- respect to minority and disadvantaged PAL centers to an Irish non-profit PAL center for which he personally advocated.
- 28. Plaintiff Cintron also reported to D/C Patterson, and therefore Defendants CITY and PAL, discriminatory conduct by Defendant Sullivan himself.
- 29. However, when Plaintiff Cintron reported D/C Sullivan's discriminatory conduct to D/C Patterson, who was generally supportive of her efforts advocating against discriminatory, D/C Patterson advised her to return to D/C Sullivan and deal with him; no remedial action was taken, although D/C Patterson continued to support Plaintiff's efforts.
- Plaintiff Cintron orally complained to Defendant CITY's office of Equal
 Employment Opportunity about the discriminatory practices she had observed.
- 31. In response to efforts the Plaintiff's efforts to advocate on behalf of minority constituents and her having complained to D/C Patterson about D/C Sullivan's discriminatory conduct, D/C Sullivan ordered the Plaintiff never to go to D/C Patterson or Commissioner Ross with complaints, leaving the Plaintiff with no avenue to report his misconduct to his superiors.
- 32. In further response to efforts to advocate on behalf of minority constituents and her having complained to D/C Patterson about his discriminatory conduct, D/C Sullivan leveled false accusations about her work performance and disparaged and besmirched her reputation to third parties.
- 33. In still further response to the Plaintiff's efforts and complaints as described herein, D/C Sullivan urged and convinced other members of Defendant PAL's leadership to isolate her, exclude her from meetings and to treat her with general

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 11 of 18

- hostility.
- 34. Having been denied any avenue to report his misconduct to his superiors, the Plaintiff found her work conditions stressful and the work environment hostile and harmful.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of the stressful and hostile work conditions imposed on her by Defendant Sullivan, the Plaintiff experienced panic attacks and such severe insomnia that she had to seek medical attention on several occasions to address it.
- 36. Plaintiff Cintron was diagnosed with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder and acute depression caused by work-related stress, and was prescribed medication.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of the stressful and hostile work conditions imposed on her by Defendant Sullivan, the Plaintiff was forced to take family medical leave from her job.
- 38. Furthermore, the Plaintiff had to seek long term psychiatric care and counseling.
- 39. The impact of the stressful and hostile work environment caused her by D/C Sullivan was so severe that the Plaintiff's doctor could not clear her for a return to work, and she was forced to retire after over twenty seven (27) years of service to Defendant CITY, twenty (20) years of which service was given to the Philadelphia Police Department.
- 40. The Plaintiff believes, and therefore avers, that she was subjected to the within-described hostile and discriminatory conduct because she is female and a Latina, the first female Latina to achieve the rank of Lieutenant with the Philadelphia Police Department.

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 12 of 18

FEDERAL CLAIMS

COUNT I: PLAINTIFF CINTRON -v- DEFENDANTS CITY OF PHILADELPHIA and POLICE ATHLETIC LEAGUE (Violation to Title VII, 42 USC §2000e-2(a)(1)

- The allegations in all preceding paragraphs are incorporated and made part of this paragraph as if fully set forth here.
- The acts and/or failures to act of Defendant SULLIVAN, which were the acts and failures to act of Defendants CITY and PAL, discriminated against the Plaintiff in the terms and conditions of her employment and denied her of rights and/or protections secured to her by the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.
- 3. The acts and failures to act of Defendant SULLIVAN, which were the acts and failures to act of Defendants CITY and PAL, discriminated against the Plaintiff on the basis of her sex and her national origin, and constituted an unlawful employment practice in violation of 42 U. S. C. 2000e-1.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants CITY and PAL, individually and/or jointly, in a sum in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00), and for reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to 42 USC §1988(b).

COUNT II: PLAINTIFF CINTRON -v- DEFENDANT CITY OF PHILADELPHIA and POLICE ATHLETIC LEAGUE (Violation to Title VII, 42 §USC 2000e-2(a)(2)

- 4. The allegations in all preceding paragraphs are incorporated and made part of this paragraph as if fully set forth here.
- 5. The acts and/or failures to act of Defendant SULLIVAN, which were the acts and failures to act of Defendants CITY and PAL, discriminated against the

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 13 of 18

- Plaintiff by serving to limit, segregate and classify her in a way which deprived her of employment opportunities and adversely affected her status as an employee because of her sex and national origin.
- 6. The acts and failures to act of Defendant SULLIVAN, which were the acts and failures to act of Defendants CITY and PAL, discriminated against the Plaintiff on the basis of her sex and national origin and constituted an unlawful employment practice in violation of 42 U. S. C. 2000e-2(a)(2).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants CITY and PAL, individually and/or jointly, in a sum in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100.000.00), and for reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to 42 USC §1988(b).

COUNT III: PLAINTIFF CINTRON -v- DEFENDANT CITY OF PHILADELPHIA and POLICE ATHLETIC LEAGUE (VIOLATION OF TITLE VII, 42 U. S. C. §2000(e)-3(a))

- 7. The Allegations contained in all preceding Paragraphs are here incorporated and included by reference as if fully set forth here.
- 8. The acts and failures to act of Defendant SULLIVAN, as described herein, retaliated against the Plaintiff for complaining, *inter alia*, about race discrimination in the treatment of PAL centers and complaining about D/C Sullivan's discriminatory acts to his superior officer, D/C Patterson.
- The acts and failures to act of Defendant SULLIVAN, which were the acts and failures to act of Defendants CITY and PAL, constituted an unlawful employment practice proscribed by 42 U. S. C. §2000e-3(a).
- 10. As a result of Defendant SULLIVAN's actions, and therefore Defendant CITY's and Defendant PAL's actions, the Plaintiff has and may be impaired in her

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 14 of 18

employment opportunities, and has suffered loss of income, loss of professional stature, mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem in violation of 42 U. S. C. §2000(e)-3(a).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for Judgment against Defendants CITY and PAL, individually and/or jointly, for damages in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00), and for reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to 42 USC §1988(b).

Count IV: PLAINTIFF CINTRON -v- DEFENDANTS CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, POLICE ATHLETIC LEAGUE and SULLIVAN (Violation of 42 U. S. C. §1983, 1st and 14th Amendments)

- 11. The Allegations contained in all preceding Paragraphs are here incorporated and included by reference as if fully set forth here.
- 12. Defendants CITY and PAL each acted under color of law, and with deliberate indifference to the Plaintiff's rights to be free from retaliatory conduct by Defendant SULLIVAN, by failing to adequately train, supervise and instruct Defendant SULLIVAN in the illegality and impropriety of retaliating against employees for reporting incidents of race and employment discrimination.
- 13. Defendant SULLIVAN is liable, individually and professionally, for his retaliatory acts and failures to act as described herein.
- 14. Defendant CITY is strictly liable for the acts and failures to act of Defendant SULLIVAN, as described.
- 15. Defendant PAL is strictly liable for the acts and failures to act of Defendant SULLIVAN, as described.
- 16. As a result of said failure to train, supervise and instruct Defendant SULLIVAN, who then retaliated against the Plaintiff as described herein, the Plaintiff was

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 15 of 18

- deprived of her rights under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
- 17. As a result of the Defendants' actions, the Plaintiff has and may be impaired in her employment opportunities, and has suffered loss of income, loss of professional stature, mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem in violation of 42 U. S. C. §1983.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for Judgment against the Defendants, individually and/or jointly, for damages in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00), and for reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to 42 USC §1988(b).

STATE LAW CLAIMS: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COUNT V: PLAINTIFF CINTRON -v- DEFENDANT CITY OF PHILADELPHIA and POLICE ATHLETIC LEAGUE (Violation of 42 Pa. C. S. §955(a))

- 18. The allegations in all preceding paragraphs are incorporated and made part of this paragraph as if fully set forth here.
- 19. The Plaintiff was the best able and most competent to perform her services as a Lieutenant of Police for Defendant CITY and for Defendant PAL, which services she had performed for many years.
- 20. The acts and failures to act of Defendant SULLIVAN, which were the acts and failures to act of Defendants CITY and PAL, tended to discriminate against the Plaintiff with respect to compensation, hire, tenure, terms, conditions or privileges of her employment on the basis of her sex and national origin.
- 21. The acts and failures to act of Defendant SULLIVAN, which were the acts and failures to act of Defendant CITY and PAL, constituted an unfair employment practice proscribed by 42 Pa. C. S. §955(a).

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 16 of 18

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants CITY and PAL, individually and/or jointly, in a sum in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00), and for costs and reasonable attorneys fees as allowed by law.

COUNT VI: PLAINTIFF CINTRON -v- DEFENDANTS CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, POLICE ATHLETIC LEAGUE and SULLIVAN 43 Pa. C. S. §955(d)

- 22. The averments set forth in all preceding paragraphs are here incorporated here as if fully set forth here.
- 23. 43 Pa. C. S. §955(d) proscribes any person or employer from discriminating in any manner against any individual because such individual has opposed any practice forbidden by this act, or because such individual has made a charge, testified or assisted, in any manner, in any investigation, proceeding or hearing under this act.
- 24. The Defendants, individually and jointly, intentionally and wilfully discriminated and retaliated against the Plaintiff because she opposed discriminatory practices forbidden by 43 Pa. C. S. §955, all in violation of 43 Pa. C. S. §955(d).
- 25. As a result of the conduct of the Defendants, individually and/or jointly, the Plaintiff has suffered a loss of employment and income, loss of professional stature, mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for Judgment against the Defendants, individually and/or jointly, for damages in excess of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00), and for costs and reasonable attorneys fees as allowed by law.

Respectfully submitted,

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 17 of 18

Isaac H. Green, Jr., Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff Cintron

Case 2:19-cv-04078-RBS Document 1 Filed 09/06/19 Page 18 of 18

EEOC Form 161	(11/16)	U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT		Y COMMISSION			
		DISMISSAL AND	NOTICE OF	_ Rigнтs			
To: Evelyn Cintron 9674 Sandanne Road Philadelphia, PA 19115			From:				
		alf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity DENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))	is		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
EEOC Charg	e Ng.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.		
		Legal Unit,		(045) 440 0000			
530-2019-		Legal Technician		,	(215) 440-2828		
THE EEO		S FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR					
	The facts alleged	in the charge fail to state a claim un	der any of the s	tatutes enforced by the	EEOC.		
	Your allegations	did not involve a disability as defined	by the America	ns With Disabilities Act			
	The Respondent	employs less than the required num	ber of employee	es or is not otherwise co	overed by the statutes.		
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge						
х	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.						
	The EEOC has a	dopted the findings of the state or lo	cal fair employn	nent practices agency t	nat investigated this charge.		
	Other (briefly sta	te)					
		- NOTICE OF (See the additional inform					
Discrimina You may fil lawsuit mus	ition in Employr e a lawsuit again st be filed <u>WITH</u>	th Disabilities Act, the Genetice nent Act: This will be the only not st the respondent(s) under feder IN 90 DAYS of your receipt of suit based on a claim under state	otice of dismis al law based of this notice; of	esal and of your right on this charge in fede or your right to sue ba	to sue that we will send you eral or state court. Your		
alleged EPA	Act (EPA): EPA A underpayment. I file suit may no	suits must be filed in federal or s This means that backpay due f t be collectible.	tate court with or any violation	in 2 years (3 years fo ons that occurred <u>m</u>	r willful violations) of the ore than 2 years (3 years)		
		On be	half of the Com	mission			
Blumberg No. 5119	EXHIBIT	Jania Risal	lana		06/10/19		
			. Williamson, ct Director	-	(Date Mailed)		

Cc:

Linda Busillo, Sr. Legal Assistant Supervisor CITY OF PHILADELPHIA Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 16th floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 Isaac H. Green, Esq. MINCEY FITZPATRICK ROSS, LLC Two Penn Center 1500 Jfk Boulevard, Suite 1525 Philadelphia, PA 19102



U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Philadelphia District Office

801 Market Street, Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19107-3126 Free: (877)-869-1802 TTY (215) 440-2606 FAX (215) 440-2610 Website: www.eeoc.gov

02/14/2023

Dear Darlene Fynes,

Your request has been delivered to the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. The request has been assigned tracking # 530-2023-005345, please log into your account and review your submission.

The application address is https://eeoc.arkcase.com/foia/portal/

Thank you,

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

Notice of Confidentiality: The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information, including information protected by federal and state privacy laws. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution, or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact us at foia@eeoc.gov and destroy all copies of the original message and attachments.